Synthesis and Evaluation of Hydroxylated Polyamine Analogues as Antiproliferatives

Raymond J. Bergeron,* Ralf Müller, Guangfei Huang, James S. McManis, Samuel E. Algee, Hua Yao, William R. Weimar, and Jan Wiegand

Department of Medicinal Chemistry, J. Hillis Miller Health Science Center, University of Florida, Gainesville, Florida 32610

Received November 22, 2000

A new means of accessing N^1 -cyclopropylmethyl- N^{11} -ethylnorspermine (CPMENSPM) and the first synthesis of $(2\vec{R}, 10S) \cdot N^1$ -cyclopropylmethyl-2, 10-dihydroxy- N^{11} -ethylnorspermine $[(2R, 10S)-(HO)_2CPMENSPM]$ are described. Both of these polyamine analogues are shown to be more active against L1210 murine leukemia cell growth than either N^1, N^{11} -diethylnorspermine (DENSPM) or (2R,10R)-N,N¹-diethyl-2,10-dihydroxynorspermine [(2R,10R)-(HO)₂DENSPM] after 96 h of treatment; the activity was comparable to that of $(2S, 10S) - N^1, N^{11}$ -diethyl-2,10dihydroxynorspermine [(2*S*,10*S*)-(HO)₂DENSPM] at 96 h. Both cyclopropyl compounds reduced putrescine and spermidine pools, but less effectively than did DENSPM and its derivatives. Only CPMENSPM, and not (2R, 10S)-(HO)₂CPMENSPM, lowered spermine pools. As with DENSPM and (2R, 10R)-(HO)₂DENSPM, both cyclopropyl analogues diminished ornithine decarboxylase and S-adenosylmethionine decarboxylase activity. Unlike the hydroxylated DENSPM compounds, both cyclopropyl norspermines substantially upregulated spermidine/ spermine N^1 -acetyltransferase. The most interesting effect of hydroxylating CPMENSPM is the profound reduction in toxicity compared with that of the parent drug. The same phenomenon had been observed for the DENSPM/(2R, 10R)-(HO)₂DENSPM pair. Thus, hydroxylation of norspermine analogues appears to be a way to maintain the compounds' antiproliferative activity while reducing their toxicity.

Introduction

The observed increases in polyamine biosynthesis in neoplastic tissues have made the polyamine biosynthetic network an attractive target in cancer chemotherapeutic strategies.^{1–3} There is abundant evidence that *N*-alkylated polyamines exhibit activity against a number of murine and human tumor lines both in vitro and in vivo.^{4–8} The phase II clinical trials with N^1 , N^{11} -diethvlnorspermine (DENSPM) have further highlighted interest in these molecules. It is certainly well established that these polyamine analogues utilize the polyamine transport apparatus for incorporation into cells,^{9–11} reduce polyamine pools,¹² diminish the level of ornithine decarboxylase (ODC)^{13,14} and S-adenosylmethionine decarboxylase (AdoMetDC) activities,^{14,15} and in some cases upregulate spermidine/spermine N^1 -acetyltransferase (SSAT).^{16–19} However, the precise mechanism by which the molecules induce death in tumor cells has not been completely defined.²⁰ Systematic structureactivity studies have shown that very small structural alterations in these compounds can cause pronounced differences in their biological properties at both cellular and whole animal levels.^{12,21,22} On a cellular level, for example, whereas the tetraamines DENSPM, N^{1} , N^{12} diethylspermine (DESPM), and N^{1} , N^{14} -diethylhomospermine (DEHSPM) suppress ODC and AdoMetDC to about the same level at equimolar concentrations, the impact of both DESPM and DEHSPM on cell growth is much faster than that observed for DENSPM. The most profound difference among the three analogues is

related to their ability to stimulate SSAT.^{17–19} The tetraamine DENSPM upregulates SSAT by 15-fold in L1210 murine leukemia cells, yet DESPM and DEH-SPM stimulate SSAT by 4.6- and 1.4-fold, respectively.¹⁷ The SSAT stimulation is even more striking in other cell lines.²³ Furthermore, although the K_i values of DESPM and DEHSPM for the polyamine transport system are within error of each other, the DENSPM value is >10 times as great.²¹

Minor changes in an analogue's structure also cause a significant difference in pharmacological behavior in whole animals. DENSPM has little gastrointestinal antitransit activity; DEHSPM is a potent antidiarrheal.²⁴ DEHSPM also decreases blood pressure in rats, whereas neither DENSPM²⁵ nor DESPM possesses this property. All three analogues are first metabolized in vivo by N-deethylation to the corresponding tetraamine.^{26–29} Spermine and norspermine are then processed by deaminopropylation^{26,28,29} through the polyamine metabolic network. However, because of the presence of the aminobutyl fragments in homospermine,²⁷ it is not terminally N-acylated and cannot be further degraded. Thus, it remains unmetabolized for a protracted period of time in animals. These differences in susceptibility to catabolism correlate with the toxicity profiles: DENSPM is the least toxic,²⁶ and DEHSPM is the most.²⁷

Although DENSPM's chronic dose-limiting toxicity is gastrointestinal, its subacute toxicity is neurological. Considering the enormous difference between the dose given to patients and the dose at which mice exhibited neurological side effects, including pronounced ataxia,





[(2*R*,10*S*)-(HO)₂CPMENSPM; **2**]

Figure 1. Structures of N^1 -cyclopropylmethyl- N^{11} -ethylnorspermine (CPMENSPM, **1**) and its hydroxylated derivative, $(2R, 10.S) - N^1$ -cyclopropylmethyl-2, 10-dihydroxy- N^{11} -ethylnorspermine [(2R, 10.S)-(HO)₂CPMENSPM, **2**].

intention tremors, and motor dysfunction,³⁰ it seemed that neurotoxicity would be an unlikely problem in the clinic. Nevertheless, this represented an easily observable means to help evaluate how structural alterations in the polyamine backbone affect toxicity. In a recent study,³⁰ we examined the effect of hydroxylation of DENSPM on both the activity of the compound against L1210 cells in culture and adverse effects in vivo. In this paper, we have carried out these observations further to include an unsymmetrically substituted analogue, N¹-cyclopropylmethyl-N¹¹-ethylnorspermine [CPMENSPM (CPENSpm in the nomenclature of Woster and co-workers),³¹ 1; Figure 1]. This tetraamine is cytotoxic to several tumor cell lines in vitro, increasing SSAT activity³¹⁻³³ and initiating apoptosis.^{31,32,34-36} However, its activity and toxicity in vivo have not been reported. We describe an improved method of generating CPMENSPM and the synthesis of a hydroxylated derivative, (2R,10S)-N¹-cyclopropylmethyl-2,10-dihydroxy-*N*¹¹-ethylnorspermine [(2*R*,10*S*)-(HO)₂CPMENSPM, **2**; Figure 1]. Initial evaluations of these analogues in L1210 cells are performed; the toxicity of these compounds in mice is also examined. Once again, hydroxylation is shown to substantially reduce toxicity.

Results

Synthesis. The first synthesis of the polyamine analogue CPMENSPM (1) was carried out in 2% overall yield.³¹ Specifically, N-benzyl-1,3-diaminopropane³⁷ was converted into 21 mg of 1 as its tetrahydrobromide salt in 10 steps.³¹ To generate sufficient quantities of CPMENSPM for biological testing in our laboratories, dialkylated polyamine 1 as its tetrahydrochloride salt was accessed by a segmented route (Schemes 1 and 2). *N*-Ethyl-1,3-diaminopropane (3) was treated with mesitylenesulfonyl chloride (2 equiv) under biphasic conditions (CH₂Cl₂/dilute NaOH), giving 4 in 88% recrystallized yield (Scheme 1). Dropwise addition of the anion of 4 (NaH/DMF) to 1,3-dichloro-, 1,3-dibromo-, or 1,3diiodopropane in 12-fold excess in DMF gave each electrophilic reagent (5a, 5b, and 5c) in 66, 71, and 40% yield, respectively. However, addition of deprotonated 4 to excess 1,3-propanediol-di-*p*-tosylate led to a mixture from which tosylate **5d** could not be easily purified.

Synthesis of the segment that contains the small ring began with modification of (aminomethyl)cyclopropane hydrochloride (**6**) using mesitylenesulfonyl chloride (CH₂Cl₂/1 N NaOH) in 97% yield (Scheme 2). Proton removal from the resulting **7** with NaH in DMF and alkylation with *N*-(3-bromopropyl)phthalimide in DMF provided intermediate **8** in 84% yield. Unmasking the primary amino functionality of **8** with hydrazine in



^a Reagents: (a) mesitylenesulfonyl chloride, NaOH (aq), CH₂Cl₂, 88%; (b) NaH, DMF, X(CH₂)₃X, X = Cl (66%), X = Br (71%), X = I (40%), X = OTs (0%).





^a Reagents: (a) mesitylenesulfonyl chloride, NaOH (aq), CH₂Cl₂, 97%; (b) *N*-(3-bromopropyl)phthalimide, NaH, DMF, 84%; (c) hydrazine hydrate, EtOH, reflux, 87%; (d) a, 84%; (e) **5b**, NaH, DMF, 73%; (f) 30% HBr in HOAc, PhOH, CH₂Cl₂; NaOH; HCl, 36%.

refluxing ethanol generated primary amine **9** in 87% yield. Treatment of **9** with mesitylenesulfonyl chloride as before produced *N*,*N*-bis(mesitylenesulfonyl)-*N*-cy-clopropylmethyl-1,3-diaminopropane (**10**) in 84% yield.

Connecting disulfonamide **10**, which contains the cyclopropylmethylamino unit, with halides 5a-c, which

possess the ethylamino functionality, would complete the framework of unsymmetrically dialkylated norspermine **1**. A solution of the anion of **10** (NaH/DMF) was added to a solution of each alkylating agent—**5a**, **5b**, or **5c**—in DMF to optimize production of masked tetraamine **11**. With alkyl chloride **5a**, difficulties in purification prevented isolation of **11**. Utilizing iodo compound **5c** resulted in **11** in only 6% yield. Treatment of **10** with primary bromide **5b** led efficiently to tetramesitylenesulfonamide **11** (73% yield). Removal of the protective groups from **11** using 30% HBr in acetic acid and phenol in CH_2Cl_2 and conversion to the tetrahydrochloride salt furnished CPMENSPM **(1)** in 36% recrystallized yield.

We report the first synthesis of (2R, 10S)-(HO)₂-CPMENSPM (2) in which both outer trimethylene chains of CPMENSPM (1) are hydroxylated. Two pairs of enantiomeric dihydroxylated, terminally diethylated tetraamines have been synthesized by way of fragments in this laboratory: (2R, 10R)- and (2S, 10S)- N^1 , N^{11} diethyl-2,10-dihydroxynorspermine [(HO)₂DENSPMs] and (3R,12R)- and (3S,12S)-N¹,N¹⁴-diethyl-3,12-dihydroxyhomospermine.³⁰ The final steps in the assembly of (2S,10S)-(HO)₂DENSPM involved alkylation of N,Ndibenzyl-1,3-propanediamine with N-[(2S)-2,3-epoxypropyl]-N-ethyltrifluoromethanesulfonamide (2 equiv) and removal of the trifluoromethanesulfonyl (Tf)³⁸ and benzyl (Bn) protecting groups with LiAlH₄ (THF, reflux, 19 h) and H_2 (1 atm, 10% Pd-C, 1 N HCl, EtOH), respectively.³⁰ However, because a cyclopropyl group may undergo ring opening under reductive conditions,³⁹⁻⁴¹ it was not initially clear that we could use this methodology to make (2R,10S)-(HO)₂CPMENSPM (2). The stability of the cyclopropane ring to these deprotection conditions was verified in two model systems before the synthesis of hydroxylated tetraamine 2 was undertaken. First, N-benzyl-N-cyclopropylmethyl-N-propylamine was prepared by successive alkylation of primary amine 6 with benzaldehyde (NaBH₄/EtOH)⁴² and propyl iodide (K₂CO₃/1-butanol). Mild hydrogenolysis of this tertiary amine (1 atm, 10% Pd-C, 1 N HCl, EtOH) gave N-cyclopropylmethyl-N-propylamine in 91% yield. Second, heating the triflamide of N-cyclopropylmethyl-N-propylamine with LiAlH₄ (THF, reflux, 3 days) or sodium bis(2methoxyethoxy)aluminum hydride (Red-Al) in boiling toluene for 12 h⁴³ removed the sulfonyl group to give back the secondary amine in 60 or 75% yield, respectively. These model reactions demonstrated that the three-membered ring would be stable to the reductive amine deprotection steps during the synthesis of 2.

The key reaction in the preparation of (2R,10S)-(HO)₂CPMENSPM (**2**) was alkylation of norspermidine derivative **18** with *N*-cyclopropylmethyl-*N*-[(2*S*)-2,3epoxypropyl]trifluoromethanesulfonamide (**16**), which was prepared according to the method of *N*-ethylaminederived epoxide **17**.³⁰ The cyclopropyl terminus of analogue **2** originated from conversion of cyclopropylmethylamine hydrochloride (**6**) to *N*-cyclopropylmethyltriflamide (**12**) in 50% distilled yield using trifluoromethanesulfonic anhydride (NEt₃/CH₂Cl₂) (Scheme 3). Sulfonamide **12** was alkylated with (*S*)-(+)-2,2-dimethyl-1,3-dioxolane-4-methanol under Mitsunobu conditions (diisopropyl azodicarboxylate, triphenylphosphine, THF) to generate adduct **13** in 96% yield. Cleavage of the ketal **Scheme 3.** Synthesis of (2R, 10S)-(HO)₂CPMENSPM $(2)^a$



^a Reagents: (a) Tf_2O , Et_3N , CH_2Cl_2 , 50%; (b) (*S*)-(+)-2,2dimethyl-1,3-dioxolane-4-methanol, PPh₃, diisopropyl azodicarboxylate, THF, 96%; (c) 1 N HCl, acetone, reflux, 98%; (d) TsCl, CH_2Cl_2 , pyridine, 88%; (e) K_2CO_3 , CH_3OH , 74%; (f) *N*,*N*-dibenzyl-1,3-diaminopropane, EtOH, reflux, 64%; (g) EtOH, reflux, 94%; (h) Red-Al, toluene, reflux, 60%; (h) H₂, 10% Pd-C, 1 N HCl (8 equiv), EtOH, 70%.

of **13** in refluxing 1 N HCl (acetone) gave diol **14** in 98% yield; activation of the primary hydroxyl group of **14** with tosyl chloride in pyridine led to monotosylate **15** in 88% yield. Intramolecular displacement of the tosylate by the secondary alcohol of **15** under basic conditions (K_2CO_3/CH_3OH) at room temperature furnished epoxide **16** in 74% yield.

Alkylation of *N*,*N*-dibenzyl-1,3-diaminopropane (DBnDAP) with chiral epoxide **17** (2.0 equiv) previously resulted in fully N-protected (2S,10S)-(HO)₂DENSPM in 80% yield.³⁰ Heating only 0.6 equiv of electrophile 17 with DBnDAP in EtOH led to 1:1 adduct 18 as the major product (64%). The secondary amino function of 18 added efficiently to epoxide 16 at its less substituted carbon, affording masked tetraamine 19 (94%). Deprotection of **19** to analogue **2** was achieved in two stages. Removal of the trifluoromethanesulfonyls from 19 was accomplished with Red-Al in toluene at reflux,³⁸ furnishing (2*S*,10*S*)-*N*¹-cyclopropylmethyl-*N*⁴, *N*⁸-dibenzyl-2,10-dihydroxy- N^{11} -ethylnorspermine (**20**) in 60% yield. Hydrogenation (1 atm) in 1 M HCl (8 equiv) and EtOH over 10% Pd–C removed the *N*-benzyl moieties of **20**, giving (2R, 10S)-(HO)₂CPMENSPM (2) as its tetrahydrochloride salt in 70% recrystallized yield. The overall yield was 8% for unsymmetrically dialkylated, outerchain-dihydroxylated norspermine analogue **2**.

Table 1. L1210 Cell Growth Inhibition and Transport for DENSPM, Dihydroxylated DENSPMs, CPMENSPM, and (2*R*,10*S*)-(HO)₂CPMENSPM

	IC ₅₀ ^a		
compound abbreviation	48 h	96 h	$K_{\mathbf{i}}{}^{b}(\mu\mathbf{M})$
DENSPM ^c	20	2.0	17
(2 <i>R</i> ,10 <i>R</i>)-(HO) ₂ DENSPM ^c	3.0	2.0	68
(2.S,10.S)-(HO)2DENSPM ^c	1.0	0.6	43
CPMENSPM	16	0.55	13
(2R,10.S)-(HO)2CPMENSPM	4.5	0.97	40

^{*a*} The IC₅₀ was estimated from growth curves for L1210 cells grown in the presence of nine different concentrations of drug spanning four logarithmic units: 0, 0.03, 0.1, 0.3, 1.0, 3, 10, 30, and 100 μ M. IC₅₀ data are presented as the mean of at least two experiments with variation from the mean typically 10–25% for the 96-h IC₅₀ values. ^{*b*} K_i determinations were made by following analogue inhibition of spermidine transport. All polyamine analogues exhibited simple substrate-competitive inhibition of [³H]SPD transport by L1210 cells. Values reported in the table represent the mean of at least two or three experiments with a variation typically <10%. ^{*c*} These results are from ref 30.

Table 2. Effect of DENSPM, Dihydroxylated DENSPMs, CPMENSPM, and (2*R*,10*S*)-(HO)₂CPMENSPM on Polyamine Pools in L1210 Cells

compound	concn (µM)	PUT ^a	SPD ^a	SPM ^a	analogue ^{b}
DENSPM ^c	10	30	14	31	1.59
	100	0	6	30	2.44
(2 <i>R</i> ,10 <i>R</i>)-(HO) ₂ DENSPM ^c	3	9	14	63	1.88
	15	5	9	54	1.99
(2.S,10.S)-(HO)2DENSPM ^c	1	66	46	94	1.18
	5	5	7	56	2.02
CPMENSPM	20	22	25	66	2.17 ± 0.11
	100	13	16	56	2.59 ± 0.19
(2 <i>R</i> ,10 <i>S</i>)-(HO) ₂ CPMENSPM	4	43	48	119	1.94 ± 0.03
	20	20	32	123	3.05 ± 0.37

^{*a*} Putrescine (PUT), spermidine (SPD), and spermine (SPM) levels after 48 h of treatment are given as percent of the polyamine found in untreated controls. Typical control values in pmol/10⁶ L1210 cells are PUT = 260 ± 59 , SPD = 3354 ± 361 , and SPM = 658 ± 119 . ^{*b*} Analogue amount is expressed as nmol/10⁶ cells. Untreated L1210 cells (10⁶) correspond to $\sim 1 \,\mu$ L volume; therefore, the concentration can be estimated as mM. ^{*c*} These results are from ref 30.

Biological Evaluations

The biological studies encompass both in vitro and in vivo assessments of the polyamine analogues. In each instance, the findings are compared to historical data for DENSPM and its hydroxylated counterparts, (2R, 10R)- $(HO)_2DENSPM$ and (2.S, 10.S)- $(HO)_2DENSPM$.³⁰ The in vitro measurements, conducted in L1210 cells, include IC₅₀ and K_i determinations (Table 1), as well as evaluations of how the analogues accumulate intracellularly (Table 2), affect the native polyamine pools (Table 2), and impact the polyamine enzymes ODC, AdoMetDC, and SSAT (Table 3). The in vivo assessment involves a comparison of the acute and chronic toxicities (Tables 4 and 5, respectively) of the parent and hydroxylated compounds in mice.

IC₅₀ **Measurements**. As with DENSPM (20 μ M) and its hydroxylated analogues [(2*R*,10*R*)-(HO)₂DENSPM, 3.0 μ M, and (2*S*,10*S*)-(HO)₂DENSPM, 1.0 μ M] at 48 h, the hydroxylated analogue of CPMENSPM, (2*R*,10*S*)-(HO)₂CPMENSPM (4.5 μ M), was more active than its parent (16 μ M) (Table 1). At 96 h, again in a manner similar to that of the DENSPMs, the large differences in IC₅₀ values between hydroxylated and parent drug were absent.

Table 3. Impact of DENSPM, Dihydroxylated DENSPMs, CPMENSPM, and (2R,10S)-(HO)₂CPMENSPM on Ornithine Decarboxylase (ODC), *S*-Adenosylmethionine Decarboxylase (AdoMetDC), and Spermidine/Spermine-*N*¹-acetyltransferase (SSAT) in L1210 Cells^{*a*}

compound	ODC	AdoMetDC	SSAT
DENSPM	7^b	42^{b}	3877
(2 <i>R</i> ,10 <i>R</i>)-(HO) ₂ DENSPM ^b	35	59	177
(2.S,10.S)-(HO)2DENSPM ^b	61	51	816
CPMENSPM	26	48	3258
(2 <i>R</i> ,10 <i>S</i>)-(HO) ₂ CPMENSPM	37	59	2497

^{*a*} Enzyme activity is expressed as percent of untreated control for ODC (1 μ M at 4 h), AdoMetDC (1 μ M at 6 h), and SSAT (10 μ M at 48 h for all of the above analogues except DENSPM, which is 2 μ M). Each experiment included a positive control, which had a known, reproducible impact on enzyme activities (mean ± SD): 1 μ M DEHSPM lowered ODC to 6.7 ± 2.6% of untreated control; 1 μ M DEHSPM decreased AdoMetDC to 40.7 ± 6.2% of untreated control; and 2 μ M DENSPM increased SSAT to 3877 ± 76% of untreated control. Data shown in the table represent the mean of at least three experiments and have variances consistent with those suggested by the positive control data presented above. ^{*b*} These results are from ref 30.

Table 4. Survival of CD-1 Mice Treated with DENSPM, (R, R)-(HO)₂DENSPM, CPMENSPM, or (2R, 10.S)-(HO)₂CPMENSPM under an Acute Toxicity Regimen^{*a*}

compound	dose (mg/kg)	no. of deaths/no. of mice injected
DENSPM ^b	325	5/10
(2 <i>R</i> ,10 <i>R</i>)-(HO) ₂ DENSPM	325	0/6
CPMENSPM	100	$1/5^{c}$
CPMENSPM	200	5/5 ^c
(2 <i>R</i> ,10 <i>S</i>)-(HO) ₂ CPMENSPM	100	0/5
(2 <i>R</i> ,10 <i>S</i>)-(HO) ₂ CPMENSPM	200	0/5
(2 <i>R</i> ,10 <i>S</i>)-(HO) ₂ CPMENSPM	400	$0/5^{d}$

^a The polyamine analogues were administered to female CD-1 mice at the doses indicated as a single ip injection. The mice were monitored for 2 h after administration of drug, after which scoring took place. Their overall health was then checked daily during a 10-day postdosing observational period. ^b These results are from ref 21. ^c Those animals that died did so in a matter of minutes of convulsions and asphyxiation; all mice exhibited pronounced signs of CNS toxicity, including splayed hind limbs, intention tremors, and ataxia. The survivors appeared to be healthy throughout the 10-day postdosing observational period. ^d These animals exhibited significant CNS signs during the first several hours after injection but had recovered completely by the next morning.

K_i **Studies.** The ability of CPMENSPM and (2*R*,10*S*)-(HO)₂CPMENSPM to compete with radiolabeled spermidine for the polyamine transport apparatus (*K*_i) was determined. In accord with the results for (2*R*,10*R*)-(HO)₂DENSPM (68 μ M) and (2*S*,10*S*)-(HO)₂DENSPM (43 μ M) versus that for DENSPM (17 μ M), the *K*_i value for (2*R*,10*S*)-(HO)₂CPMENSPM (40 μ M) was also higher than that of its parent drug, CPMENSPM (13 μ M). The most likely explanation is that hydroxylated norspermines simply do not bind as well to the polyamine transport apparatus as do the nonhydroxylated compounds.

Impact of Analogues on Polyamine Pools. The intracellular accumulations of CPMENSPM and (2R, 10.S)-(HO)₂CPMENSPM as well as the impact of these compounds on polyamine pools in L1210 cells were measured after 48 h of incubation. Two different concentrations were used, the approximate 48-h IC₅₀ concentration and 5 times this value (Table 2). In the case of DENSPM and its hydroxylated analogues, at either concentration assessed, similar intracellular accumulations of the compound generated comparable

 Table 5.
 Survival of CD-1 Mice Treated with a 5-Day Course of Hydroxylated versus Nonhydroxylated Norspermine Analogues^a

compound	dose (mg/kg/day)	no. of days	no. of deaths/no. of mice injected
DENSPM ^b	200	6	10/12
(2R,10R)-(HO)2DENSPM ^c	217	6	0/15
CPMENSPM	10	5	0/7
	25	5	0/7
	50	5	0/7
	75	5	0/5
	100	5	0/5 ^d
(2 <i>R</i> ,10 <i>S</i>)-(HO) ₂ CPMENSPM	100	5	0/6
	200	5	0/6
	300	5	0/5 ^e

^a Female CD-1 mice were administered the polyamine analogues as 1 ip dose/day for the number of days indicated. Animals were monitored daily for an additional 10 days. ^b These results are from ref 21. During the course of treatment, all of the animals displayed severe neurological signs, which included severe ataxia, intention tremors, and motor dysfunction. By day 3, two of the animals had died of seizures; by the end of the dosing period, the number of animals indicated had died from neurological sequelae. ^c The data are from ref 30. Neither weight loss nor any other adverse effects were observed. ^d Animals in the 100 mg/kg group exhibited pronounced signs of CNS toxicity, such as splayed hind limbs, tremors, and ataxia, immediately after the dose, but the mice recovered after several hours. No signs of chronic toxicity, such as weight loss or changes in coat appearance, were observed during the 5-day treatment period; all mice appeared to be healthy throughout the 10-day postdosing observational period. ^e Animals in the 300 mg/kg group exhibited mild signs of CNS toxicity, such as ataxia, immediately after the dose, but the mice completely recovered after several hours. No signs of chronic toxicity, such as weight loss or changes in coat appearance, were observed during the 5-day treatment period; all mice appeared to be healthy throughout the 10-day postdosing observational period.

impacts on polyamine pools. This was not quite the case with CPMENSPM and its hydroxylated counterpart. At its 48-h IC₅₀ concentration, CPMENSPM diminished the putrescine (PUT), spermidine (SPD), and spermine (SPM) levels to 22, 25, and 66%, respectively, of control values; CPMENSPM reached an intracellular concentration of 2.17 \pm 0.11 mM. At its approximate 48-h IC₅₀ concentration (4 μ M), (2*R*,10*S*)-(HO)₂CPMENSPM attained a concentration of 1.94 \pm 0.03 mM and concomitantly lowered PUT and SPD to 43 and 48%, respectively, of controls, but SPM was slightly elevated (119% of control value). The reduction of polyamine pools was not as significant with (2*R*,10*S*)-(HO)₂CPMENSPM, particularly considering that SPM was unaffected or slightly enhanced at both drug concentrations tested.

Effect of Compounds on ODC, AdoMetDC, and SSAT. In each case, ODC and AdoMetDC measurements were made in L1210 cells after exposure to 1 μ M polyamine analogue. Unlike DENSPM (7% of control value) and its hydroxylated analogues [(2*R*,10*R*)-, 35% of control value; (2*S*,10*S*)-, 61%, Table 3], there was not a substantial difference in ODC activity after treatment with (2*R*,10*S*)-(HO)₂CPMENSPM (37%) or CPMENSPM (26%). However, the moderate impact of both CPMENSPM (48% of control value) and (2*R*,10*S*)-(HO)₂-CPMENSPM (59%) on AdoMetDC resembled that of DENSPM (42%) and its hydroxylated derivatives [(2*R*,10*R*)-, 59% of control value; (2*S*,10*S*)-, 51%].

The most notable difference between the DENSPMs and the CPMENSPMs is related to their stimulation of SSAT after a 48-h incubation with 10 μ M polyamine analogue. Whereas DENSPM dramatically upregulated SSAT (3877% of control value) at 2 μ M,

neither (2R, 10R)-(HO)₂DENSPM (177%) nor (2.S, 10.S)-(HO)₂DENSPM (816%) achieved this level of stimulation, even at 5 times this concentration. In contrast, the increase in SSAT activity elicited by CPMENSPM (3258% of control value) is analogous to that observed with DENSPM; however, unlike either of the hydroxylated DENSPMs, (2R, 10.S)-(HO)₂CPMENSPM increased SSAT activity by 2497% of control value, a level comparable to that of its parent drug.

Toxicity of CPMENSPM and (2R,10S)-(HO)2-**CPMENSPM in Mice.** The toxicity of CPMENSPM is acute in nature, including a strong neurological component. All mice treated with CPMENSPM at an ip dose of \geq 100 mg/kg exhibited pronounced signs of central nervous system (CNS) toxicity. These included an ataxic gait, intention tremors, and severe motor dysfunction, especially of the hindlimbs (Table 4). Those animals that died did so in a matter of minutes of convulsions and asphyxiation. The survivors appeared to be healthy throughout the 10-day postdosing observational period. When animals were treated in a chronic toxicity protocol (daily ip injections for 5 days), the only signs of toxicity were observed immediately after injection. Again, these consisted of acute CNS signs, which were moderate at 75 mg/kg and severe at 100 mg/kg. No signs of chronic toxic effects, such as weight loss or poor coat health, were observed during the treatment period or during a 10-day post-treatment followup (Table 5). In marked contrast, only minimal toxic effects (ataxia of 15–20-min duration) were observed in the five mice treated with (2*R*,10*S*)-(HO)₂CPMENSPM at 200 mg/kg, a dose 93% on a molar basis of that which was lethal to five of five mice treated with CPMENSPM (Table 4). Moreover, even at a dose of 400 mg/kg of (2R, 10S)-(HO)₂CPMENSPM, there were no deaths among the five mice injected, although there were significant neurological signs at this dose. Even when the mice received (2*R*,10*S*)-(HO)₂CPMENSPM at doses of 100, 200, or 300 mg/kg/day under a 5-day chronic dosing regimen, there was no apparent toxicity beyond what was found during the acute study. In fact, the neurological signs that were observed in the mice treated with the hydroxylated analogue at 300 mg/kg/day were much milder than those in the animals given the nonhydroxylated compound at approximately one-third the dose (Table 5). Thus, the introduction of hydroxyls reduced the toxicity at least severalfold.

Discussion

The current synthetic route to CPMENSPM (1) offers a number of advantages over the previously described approach.³¹ The scheme is shorter (8 versus 10 steps) and generates a higher yield (10 versus 2%), offering facile access to crystalline product. The key step involved alkylation of the anion of N,N-bis(mesitylenesulfonyl)-N-cyclopropylmethyl-1,3-diaminopropane with N,N-bis(mesitylenesulfonyl)-N-(3-bromopropyl)-N-ethyl-1,3-diaminopropane, followed by removal of the mesitylenesulfonamide protecting groups.

The seminal step in the assembly of the hydroxylated analogue (**2**) required two different alkylating agents, N-[(2*S*)-2,3-epoxypropyl]-*N*-ethyltrifluoromethanesulfonamide and *N*-cyclopropylmethyl-*N*-[(2*S*)-2,3-epoxypropyl]trifluoromethanesulfonamide. The reagents were coupled in a stepwise fashion to N,N-dibenzyl-1,3diaminopropane, and the triflyl and benzyl protecting groups were removed. Once again, the chemistry is relatively simple (nine steps) and effective, accomplished in nearly the same overall yield (8%) as that of (2.*S*,-10.*S*)-(HO)₂DENSPM (10%),³⁰ despite potential stability problems with the cyclopropyl ring^{39,40} in **2**.

In a comparison of how hydroxylation of DENSPM and CPMENSPM influences their respective biological properties, the similarities are far more common than the differences. In both instances, hydroxylation has only a minor effect on the 96-h IC₅₀ values, and the K_i values are increased. Regarding the impact of the analogues on polyamine pools, when similar intracellular levels of drug are considered, hydroxylation exerts little effect on DENSPM's reduction of polyamine pools. Although hydroxylation of CPMENSPM moderately reduces the molecule's ability to diminish PUT and SPD, this modification significantly alters CPMENSPM's depletion of SPM. Hydroxylation of DENSPM also attenuates its ability to decrease ODC and AdoMetDC as well as its capability to stimulate SSAT. This was not the case with CPMENSPM hydroxylation. There was little change in ODC and AdoMetDC and only a very modest effect on SSAT stimulation.

With both DENSPM and CPMENSPM, the most interesting observation was that hydroxylation profoundly reduced the compounds' neurologic toxicity. Thus, hydroxylation of norspermine analogues may be a means for increasing their therapeutic indices. However, the question regarding the generality of this approach remains to be answered. In particular, as the lipophilicity of the molecule increases (i.e., by adding larger terminal alkyl groups), will it be necessary to likewise increase the number of appended hydroxyls to reduce toxicity? Experiments designed to define the possible relationships among hydrophobicity, the ability to penetrate the blood-brain barrier, and CNS toxicity of norspermine analogues are ongoing.

Experimental Section

General. Compound 3 was obtained from Karl Industries, Aurora, OH. Other reagents were purchased from the Aldrich Chemical Co., Milwaukee, WI. Fisher Optima-grade solvents were routinely used, and organic extracts were dried with sodium sulfate. DMF and THF were distilled, the latter from sodium and benzophenone. Reactions in nonaqueous solvents were run under a nitrogen atmosphere. Silica gel 32-63 from Selecto Scientific, Inc. (Suwanee, GA) was used for flash column chromatography. Melting points are uncorrected. NMR spectra were recorded at 300 MHz (1H) or at 75 MHz (13C) on a Varian Unity 300. Compounds 1 and 2 were measured in D₂O with sodium *2,2,3,3-d*₄-3-(trimethylsilyl)propionate (TSP, δ 0.0) for ¹H or dioxane (δ 67.19) for ¹³C as references. Other spectra were run in CDCl₃ with tetramethylsilane (δ 0.0) for ¹H or the solvent (δ 77.0) for ¹³C as standards. Coupling constants (\mathcal{J}) are in hertz. Optical rotations were measured in CHCl₃ (unless specified) at 589 nm (sodium D line) with a Perkin-Elmer 341 polarimeter; c equals grams of compound per 100 mL of solution. FAB mass spectra were run in a 3-nitrobenzyl alcohol or glycerol (1 and 2) matrix. Elemental analyses were performed by Atlantic Microlabs, Norcross, GA.

N,**N**-**Bis(mesitylenesulfonyl)**-**N**-**ethyl**-**1,3**-**diaminopropane (4).** A solution of mesitylenesulfonyl chloride (85.43 g, 0.391 mol) in CH_2Cl_2 (260 mL) was added to **3** (20.07 g, 0.196 mol) in 2 N NaOH (210 mL) with ice-bath cooling. The mixture was stirred for 18 h at room temperature, the layers were separated, and the aqueous portion was extracted with CHCl₃ (2 × 200 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with 0.5 N HCl (200 mL) and 1:1 H₂O/brine (200 mL) and were concentrated in vacuo. Recrystallization from aqueous EtOH gave 80.57 g of **4** (88%) as a solid: mp 103.5–104 °C; ¹H NMR δ 0.95 (t, 3 H, J=7.2), 1.68 (quintet, 2 H, J=6.6), 2.30 (s, 6 H), 2.56 (s, 6 H), 2.62 (s, 6 H), 2.92 (quartet, 2 H, J=6.6), 3.12 (quartet, 2 H, J=7.2), 3.31 (t, 2 H, J=6.6), 4.92 (t, 1 H, J=6.6), 6.94 (s, 2 H), 6.95 (s, 2 H); 13 C NMR δ 12.51, 20.84, 22.74, 22.82, 27.73, 39.23, 39.94, 42.23, 131.87, 131.97, 133.20, 133.94, 138.82, 139.91, 141.95, 142.50; HRMS, m/z calcd for C₂₃H₃₅N₂O₄S₂ 467.2038 (M + H), found 467.2057. Anal. Calcd (C₂₃H₃₄N₂O₄S₂) C, H, N.

N,N-Bis(mesitylenesulfonyl)-N-(3-bromopropyl)-Nethyl-1,3-diaminopropane (5b). Sodium hydride (60%, 2.23 g, 55.7 mmol) was added to 4 (20.0 g, 42.9 mmol) in DMF (300 mL) and stirred for 30 min in an ice bath and for 30 min at room temperature. The solution was added slowly to 1,3dibromopropane (104 g, 0.514 mol) in DMF (200 mL). The mixture was stirred for 18 h at room temperature. The reaction was quenched with EtOH (10 mL) and H_2O (20 mL) after 40 h, and solvents were removed in vacuo. The residue was dissolved in CHCl₃ (200 mL), washed with brine (200 mL), and concentrated in vacuo. Flash chromatography (15-33% EtOAc/ hexane) afforded 17.8 g of 5b (71%) as a white glassy solid: ¹H NMR δ 1.00 (t, 3 H, J = 7.2), 1.71 (quintet, 2 H, J = 7.2), 1.96 (quintet, 2 H, J = 7.2), 2.30 (s, 6 H), 2.56 (s, 6 H), 2.57 (s, 6 H), 3.01-3.09 (m, 4 H), 3.14 (quartet, 2 H, J = 7.2), 3.21-3.28 (m, 4 H), 6.93 (s, 2 H), 6.94 (s, 2 H); 13 C NMR δ 12.67, 20.91, 22.72, 22.77, 25.43, 30.18, 30.38, 40.12, 42.54, 43.81, 44.35, 131.90, 132.01, 132.71, 133.09, 140.04, 140.09, 142.37, 142.68; HRMS, m/z calcd for C₂₆H₄₀BrN₂O₄S₂ 587.1613 (M + H), found 587.1603.

N-Cyclopropylmethylmesitylenesulfonamide (7). Mesitylenesulfonyl chloride (22.4 g, 0.102 mol), **6** (10.0 g, 0.093 mol), and 1 N NaOH (250 mL) were reacted according to the method of **4**. Recrystallization of the crude product from EtOH gave 23.0 g of **7** (97%) as a solid: mp 69–70 °C; ¹H NMR δ 0.04–0.11 (m, 2 H), 0.42–0.50 (m, 2 H), 0.79–0.94 (m, 1 H), 2.30 (s, 3 H), 2.65 (s, 6 H), 2.76 (dd, 2 H, J= 6.9, 6.0), 4.57 (br s, 1 H), 6.96 (s, 2 H); ¹³C NMR δ 3.45, 10.59, 20.89, 22.89, 47.76, 131.87, 133.69, 139.00, 142.05; HRMS, *m*/*z* calcd for C₁₃H₂₀-NO₂S 254.1215 (M + H), found 254.1198. Anal. Calcd (C₁₃H₁₉-NO₂S) C, H, N.

N-Cyclopropylmethyl-N-(3-phthalimidopropyl)mesitylenesulfonamide (8). Sodium hydride (60%, 4.74 g, 0.118 mol) was added to 7 (20.0 g, 78.9 mmol) in DMF (300 mL) under ice-bath conditions. The mixture was stirred for 30 min and then at room temperature for an additional 30 min. N-(3-Bromopropyl)phthalimide (31.7 g, 0.118 mol) was added followed by heating at 40 °C for 4 h. The reaction was quenched with EtOH (10 mL) and H₂O (20 mL), and solvents were removed in vacuo. The residue was dissolved in CHCl₃ (1 L) and washed with H₂O (500 mL). Flash chromatography (4% acetone/toluene) gave 29.2 g of 8 (84%) as a white solid: mp 93-96 °C; ¹H NMR δ 0.08-0.16 (m, 2 H), 0.45-0.53 (m, 2 H), 0.85-1.00 (m, 1 H), 1.84 (quintet, 2 H, J = 7.5), 2.25 (s, 3 H), 2.55 (s, 6 H), 3.12 (d, 2 H, J = 7.2), 3.32 (t, 2 H, J = 7.5), 3.54 (t, 2 H, J = 7.5), 6.83 (s, 2 H), 7.70-7.78 (m, 2 H), 7.80-7.88 (m, 2 H); ¹³C NMR & 3.93, 9.31, 20.92, 22.68, 26.51, 35.33, 43.32, 50.13, 123.17, 131.80, 131.92, 132.79, 133.96, 140.08, 142.31, 168.06; HRMS, m/z calcd for C24H29N2O4S 441.1848 (M + H), found 441.1816.

N-(3-Aminopropyl)-*N*-cyclopropylmethylmesitylenesulfonamide (9). Hydrazine hydrate (55%, 3.46 g, 59.4 mmol) and **8** (11.9 g, 27.0 mmol) were heated at reflux in EtOH (270 mL) for 12 h, the mixture was filtered through Celite, and solvents were removed in vacuo. Flash chromatography (2% concentrated NH₄OH/CH₃OH) afforded 7.3 g of **9** (87%) as a thick oil: ¹H NMR δ 0.03–0.10 (m, 2 H), 0.43–0.51 (m, 2 H), 0.79–0.93 (m, 1 H), 1.70 (quintet, 2 H, *J* = 7), 1.86 (br s, 2 H), 2.29 (s, 3 H), 2.60 (s, 6 H), 2.65 (t, 2 H, *J* = 7), 3.03 (d, 2 H, *J* = 7), 3.40 (t, 2 H, *J* = 7), 6.93 (s, 2 H); ¹³C NMR δ 3.83, 8.99, 20.90, 22.75, 30.57, 39.08, 43.10, 49.84, 131.84, 133.24, 140.11, 142.25; HRMS, m/z calcd for $C_{16}H_{27}N_2O_2S$ 311.1793 (M + H), found 311.1776.

N,*N*-Bis(mesitylenesulfonyl)-*N*-cyclopropylmethyl-**1,3-diaminopropane (10).** Mesitylenesulfonyl chloride (7.75 g, 35.4 mmol), **9** (10.0 g, 32.2 mmol), and 1 N NaOH (50 mL) were reacted according to the method of **4**. Flash chromatography (8% acetone/toluene) generated 13.4 g of **10** (84%) as a white solid: mp 92–94 °C; ¹H NMR δ –0.06 to +0.05 (m, 2 H), 0.39–0.48 (m, 2 H), 0.67–0.80 (m, 1 H), 1.72 (quintet, 2 H, *J* = 6.3), 2.30 (s, 6 H), 2.57 (s, 6 H), 2.62 (s, 6 H), 2.87–2.96 (m, 4 H), 3.45 (t, 2 H, *J* = 6.3), 4.95 (t, 1 H, *J* = 6.3), 6.93 (s, 2 H), 6.95 (s, 2 H); ¹³C NMR δ 3.85, 8.89, 20.94, 22.79, 22.87, 27.71, 39.24, 42.93, 50.01, 131.91, 132.00, 133.15, 134.02, 138.87, 140.05, 141.97, 142.56; HRMS, *m*/z calcd for C₂₅H₃₇-N₂O₄S₂ 493.2195 (M + H), found 493.2132.

N¹-Cyclopropylmethyl-N¹¹-ethyl-N¹,N⁴,N⁸,N¹¹-tetrakis-(mesitylenesulfonyl)norspermine (11).³¹ Sodium hydride (60%, 0.39 g, 9.8 mmol) was added to 10 (3.7 g, 7.5 mmol) in DMF (50 mL) under ice-bath conditions. The mixture was stirred for an additional 30 min each at 0 °C and at room temperature and was added dropwise to 5b (5.3 g, 9.0 mmol) in DMF (75 mL). The mixture was stirred overnight at room temperature and was quenched under ice-bath conditions with EtOH (10 mL) and H₂O (10 mL). The solvents were removed in vacuo, and the concentrate was taken up in CHCl₃ (50 mL) and H₂O (50 mL). The chloroform layer was washed with brine (50 mL); the solution was concentrated in vacuo. Flash chromatography (3:1 hexane/EtOAc) gave 5.47 g of 10 (73%) as a glassy white solid: ¹H NMR δ –0.08 to –0.02 (m, 2 H), 0.38-0.45 (m, 2 H), 0.64-0.78 (m, 1 H), 0.95 (t, 3 H, J = 7.2), 1.56-1.73 (m, 6 H), 2.29 (s, 12 H), 2.53 (s, 12 H), 2.54 (s, 12 H), 2.86 (d, 2 H, J = 6.6), 2.91–3.17 (m, 14 H), 6.93 (s, 8 H); ¹³C NMR & 3.77, 8.90, 12.61, 20.90, 22.68, 22.69, 22.74, 22.76, 25.08, 25.18, 25.28, 40.04, 42.49, 43.20, 49.92, 131.88, 131.96, 132.91, 132.96, 133.02, 133.10, 140.00, 140.02, 140.03, 142.32, 142.49, 142.52; HRMS, m/z calcd for C51H75N4O8S4 999.4468 (M + H), found 999.4382.

N¹-Cyclopropylmethyl-N¹¹-ethylnorspermine Tetrahydrochloride (1).³¹ Hydrogen bromide in HOAc (30%, 50 mL) was added to 11 (5.3 g, 5.3 mmol) and phenol (19.9 g, 0.212 mol) in CH₂Cl₂ (50 mL) at 0 °C. The reaction mixture was stirred overnight at room temperature and was quenched with H₂O (100 mL) under ice-bath conditions. The aqueous layer was washed with CH_2Cl_2 (4 \times 100 mL), and the former was concentrated in vacuo. The residue was treated with 1 N NaOH (20 mL) and 19 N NaOH (10 mL) under ice-bath cooling and was extracted with $CHCl_3$ (4 \times 50 mL). After concentration of the CHCl₃ extracts, the oil was dissolved in EtOH (50 mL) and acidified with concentrated HCl (10 mL). Solvent removal in vacuo followed by recrystallization from aqueous EtOH gave 0.800 g of 1 (36%) as white flakes: mp >290 °C; ¹H NMR δ 0.34-0.41 (m, 2 H), 0.66-0.74 (m, 2 H), 1.02-1.17 (m, 1 H), 1.30 (t, 3 H, J = 7.5), 2.07–2.21 (m, 6 H), 2.98 (d, 2 H, J =7.5), 3.08–3.26 (m, 14 H); 13 C NMR δ 4.04, 7.22, 11.14, 23.34, 43.71, 44.47, 45.22, 45.31, 53.29; HRMS, m/z calcd for C₁₅H₃₅N₄ 271.2862 (M + H), found 271.2861. Anal. Calcd (C₁₅H₃₈Cl₄N₄) C, H, N.

N-Cyclopropylmethyltrifluoromethanesulfonamide (12). Trifluoromethanesulfonic anhydride (27.00 g, 95.7 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (50 mL) was added dropwise to **6** (10.30 g, 95.7 mmol) and Et₃N (40 mL, 0.29 mol) in CH₂Cl₂ (100 mL) at -78 °C, and the reaction mixture was stirred overnight at room temperature. The reaction solution was washed with 1 N HCl (3 × 100 mL) and brine and was concentrated under reduced pressure. Vacuum distillation afforded 9.74 g of **12** (50%): bp 81–84 °C at 2.6 mmHg; ¹H NMR δ 0.27 (m, 2 H), 0.63 (m, 2 H), 1.08 (m, 1 H), 3.17 (t, 2 H, J = 6.3), 4.91 (br, 1 H).

N-Cyclopropylmethyl-*N*-[(4.5)-2,2-dimethyl-1,3-dioxolan-4-ylmethyl]trifluoromethanesulfonamide (13). (*S*)-(+)-2,2-Dimethyl-1,3-dioxolane-4-methanol (2.64 g, 20.0 mmol) and triphenylphosphine (6.29 g, 24.0 mmol) were added to 12 (4.06 g, 20.0 mmol) in THF (100 mL). Diisopropyl azodicarboxylate (4.85 g, 24.0 mmol) in THF (40 mL) was added dropwise at room temperature, and the reaction mixture was stirred overnight. The solvent was removed in vacuo, and the crude product was purified by flash chromatography (CHCl₃) to give 6.10 g of **13** (96%) as a colorless oil: ¹H NMR δ 0.27 (m, 1 H), 0.35 (m, 1 H), 0.60 (m, 2 H), 1.04 (m, 1 H), 1.32 (s, 3 H), 1.40 (s, 3 H), 3.43 (m, 1 H), 3.51 (dd, 2 H, J = 15.0, 7.2), 3.65 (dd, 1 H, J = 8.7, 6.3), 3.69 (m, 1 H), 4.08 (dd, 1 H, J = 8.7, 6.3), 4.31 (m, 1 H); ¹³C NMR δ 3.39, 4.58, 9.21, 25.25, 26.67, 54.22, 67.16, 75.26, 77.20, 110.06, 120.01 (q, J = 328); HRMS, m/z calcd for C₁₁H₁₉F₃NO₄S 318.0987 (M + H), found 318.0985; [α]²⁵_D -20.1 (c 2.33).

N-Cyclopropylmethyl-*N*-[(2.*S*)-2,3-dihydroxypropyl]trifluoromethanesulfonamide (14). A solution of 13 (5.91 g, 18.6 mmol) in acetone (40 mL) and 1 N HCl (80 mL) was heated at reflux for 2 h. The solvent was removed in vacuo, and the residue was purified by flash chromatography (15:1 CHCl₃/CH₃OH) to give 5.07 g of 14 (98%) as an oil: ¹H NMR δ 0.24 (m, 2 H), 0.57 (m, 2 H), 0.97 (m, 1 H), 2.60 (s, 2 H), 3.30 (s, 2 H), 3.52 (m, 3 H), 3.67 (dd, 1 H, *J* = 11.7, 3.6), 3.93 (m, 1 H); ¹³C NMR δ 4.11, 9.42, 50.00, 54.69, 63.54, 70.03, 120.05 (q, *J* = 323); HRMS, *m*/*z* calcd for C₈H₁₅F₃NO₄S 278.0674 (M + H), found 278.0673; [α]_D²⁴ - 4.94 (*c* 1.74).

N-Cyclopropylmethyl-N-[(2S)-2-hydroxy-3-(p-toluenesulfonato)propyl]trifluoromethanesulfonamide (15). p-Toluenesulfonyl chloride (3.70 g, 19.4 mmol) in anhydrous CH₂Cl₂ (20 mL) was added dropwise to 14 (4.89 g, 17.7 mmol) in anhydrous pyridine (25 mL) at 0 °C. After the reaction mixture had been stirred at room temperature overnight, it was poured into a slurry of 1 N HCl (300 mL) and ice and then extracted with CHCl₃ (300 mL). The organic layer was washed with H₂O (300 mL) and brine (300 mL), concentrated under reduced pressure, and purified by flash chromatography (40:1 CHCl₃/CH₃OH) to give 6.70 g of **15** (88%) as an oil: ¹H NMR δ 0.28 (m, 2 H), 0.61 (m, 2 H), 0.98 (m, 1 H), 2.44 (s, 3 H), 2.63 (br, 1 H), 3.33 (m, 2 H), 3.49 (dd, 1 H, J = 15.3, 7.8), 3.58 (dd, 1 H, J = 15.3, 4.2), 4.02 (m, 2 H), 4.14 (m, 1 H), 7.35 (d, 2 H, J = 8.1), 7.78 (d, 2 H, J = 8.1); ¹³C NMR δ 3.96, 4.27, 9.32, 21.65, 49.94, 54.90, 68.48, 71.03, 119.97 (q, J = 323), 127.96, 130.06, 132.09, 145.47; HRMS, m/z calcd for C15H21F3- NO_6S_2 432.0762 (M + H), found 432.0765; $[\alpha]_D^{22}$ -3.83 (c 1.07).

N-Cyclopropylmethyl-N-[(2S)-2,3-epoxypropyl]trifluoromethanesulfonamide (16). A suspension of 15 (6.59 g, 15.3 mmol) and K₂CO₃ (2.24 g, 16.2 mmol) in CH₃OH (100 mL) was stirred at room temperature for 4 h. Solids were filtered, and brine (100 mL), water (150 mL), and CH₂Cl₂ (150 mL) were added to the filtrate. The layers were separated, and the aqueous layer was extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (2 × 100 mL). The organic phase was evaporated under reduced pressure and purified by flash chromatography (4:1 cyclohexane/EtOAc) to give 2.93 g of 16 (74%) as an oil: ¹H NMR δ 0.27 (m, 1 H), 0.35 (m, 1 H), 0.60 (m, 2 H), 1.07 (m, 1 H), 2.56 (dd, 1 H, J= 4.5, 2.4), 2.82 (t, 1 H, J = 4.5), 3.16 (m, 1 H), 3.31 (m, 2 H), 3.43 (m, 1 H), 3.95 (dd, 1 H, J = 15.0, 3.0); ¹³C NMR δ 3.49, 4.52, 9.45, 44.67, 50.54, 54.22, 119.99 (q, J = 323); HRMS, m/z calcd for C₈H₁₃F₃NO₃S (M + H), 260.0568, found 260.0560; $[\alpha]_{D}^{22} = -32.1 \ (c \ 1.00).$

(2R)-N⁴, N⁷-Dibenzyl-N¹-ethyl-2-hydroxy-N¹-trifluoromethanesulfonylnorspermidine (18). A solution of 17 (11.36 g, 50.89 mmol)³⁰ and N,N-dibenzyl-1,3-diaminopropane (21.80 g, 85.70 mmol)⁴² in EtOH (200 mL) was heated at reflux overnight, and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The residue was purified using flash chromatography (60:39.5:0.5 toluene/acetone/concentrated NH₄OH) to afford 15.80 g of **18** (64%) as an oil: ¹H NMR δ 1.13 (t, 3 H, J = 7.2), 1.64 (m, 2 H), 2.37 (m, 2 H), 2.52 (m, 1 H), 2.65 (m, 3 H), 3.17 (m, 2 H), 3.40 (d, 1 H, J = 13.5), 3.46 (m, 2 H), 3.64 (d, 1 H, J = 13.5), 3.68 (s, 2 H), 3.73 (m, 1 H), 5.31 (br s, 1 H), 7.20 (m, 10 H); 13 C NMR δ 13.49, 26.86, 44.80, 47.06, 51.19, 52.24, 53.97, 57.63, 59.46, 68.21, 120.00 (q, J = 324), 127.03, 127.21, 128.28, 128.37, 128.41, 129.00, 138.64, 139.73; HRMS, m/z calcd for $C_{23}H_{33}F_3N_3O_3S$ 488.2195 (M + H), found 488.2206; $[\alpha]_{D}^{24}$ +12.6 (*c* 1.00).

(2*R*,10*R*)-*N*¹,*N*¹¹-Bis(trifluoromethanesulfonyl)-*N*¹-cyclopropylmethyl-*N*⁴,*N*⁸-dibenzyl-2,10-dihydroxy-*N*¹¹-ethylnorspermine (19). A solution of 16 (2.82 g, 10.9 mmol) and 18 (5.31 g, 10.9 mmol) in EtOH was heated at reflux for 3 days. The reaction mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure, and the residue was purified by flash chromatography (3:1 then 1:1 cyclohexane/EtOAc) providing 7.67 g of 19 (94%) as a viscous oil: ¹H NMR δ 0.27 (m, 2 H), 0.54 (m, 2 H), 1.00 (m, 1 H), 1.20 (t, 3 H, *J* = 7.2), 1.67 (m, 2 H), 2.39 (m, 6 H), 2.57 (m, 2 H), 3.14 (m, 1 H), 3.38 (m, 7 H), 3.52 (m, 4 H), 3.75 (m, 4 H), 7.17 (m, 4 H), 7.27 (m, 6 H); ¹³C NMR δ 3.46, 4.37, 9.17, 13.52, 24.27, 45.14, 51.28, 51.82, 54.31, 57.14, 57.30, 58.84, 67.24, 120.02 (q, *J* = 320), 127.45, 128.50, 129.09, 137.81; HRMS, *m*/*z* calcd for C₃₁H₄₅F₆N₄O₆S₂ 747.2685 (M + H), found 747.2687; [α]_D²² +30.5 (*c* 1.30).

 $(2.S, 10.S) \cdot N^1 \cdot Cyclopropylmethyl \cdot N^4, N^8 \cdot dibenzyl \cdot 2, 10 \cdot di \cdot N^4, N^8 \cdot dibenzyl \cdot 2, 10 \cdot di \cdot N^4, N^8 \cdot dibenzyl \cdot 2, 10 \cdot di \cdot N^4, N^8 \cdot di \cdot N^6, N^8 \cdot N^8 \cdot N^6, N^8 \cdot N^6, N^8 \cdot N^6, N^8 \cdot N$ hydroxy-N¹¹-ethylnorspermine (20). Red-Al (65% in toluene, 19.3 mL, 64.2 mmol) was added slowly to a solution of 19 (6.00 g, 8.04 mmol) in toluene (300 mL), and the reaction mixture was heated at reflux overnight. The reaction mixture was cautiously quenched with H_2O (100 mL) and 10% NaOH (100 mL) at room temperature. The layers were separated, and the aqueous portion was extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (3 \times 200 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with brine (500 mL) and concentrated under reduced pressure. Purification using flash chromatography (20:1 and then 10:1 CH₃CN/concentrated NH₄OH) furnished 2.32 g of 20 (60%) as an oil: ¹H NMR δ 0.08 (m, 2 H), 0.44 (m, 2 H), 0.91 (m, 1 H), 1.07 (t, 3 H, J= 7.2), 1.64 (quintet, 2 H, J = 6.9), 2.43 (m, 12 H), 2.60 (m, 4 H), 3.00 (br s, 4 H), 3.45 (d, 2 H, J = 13.5), 3.64 (d, 2 H, J = 13.5), 3.79 (m, 2 H), 7.23 (m, 10 H); 13 C NMR δ 2.85, 2.89, 10.64, 14.67, 23.91, 43.59, 51.53, 53.16, 54.47, 57.78, 58.46, 66.30, 66.39, 126.51, 127.74, 128.47, 135.25; HRMS, m/z calcd for $C_{29}H_{47}N_4O_2$ 483.3699 (M + H), found 483.3702; $[\alpha]_D^{24}$ +57.6 (c 1.18).

(2R,10S)-N¹-Cyclopropylmethyl-N¹¹-ethyl-2,10-dihydroxynorspermine Tetrahydrochloride (2). A solution of 20 (2.32 g, 4.81 mmol) in EtOH (200 mL) and 1 N HCl (38 mL) was degassed with N₂, and 10% Pd-C (0.66 g) was introduced. After the flask had been purged with N2 (three times), the reaction mixture was stirred overnight under an H₂ atmosphere (1 atm). Solids were filtered through Celite, and the filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was recrystallized from aqueous EtOH to give 1.50 g of **2** (70%) as white crystals: ¹H NMR δ 0.39 (m, 2 H), 0.71 (m, 2 H), 1.11 (m, 1 H), 1.32 (t, 3 H, J = 7.2), 2.20 (m, 2 H), 3.19 (m, 16 H), 4.33 (m, 2 H); 13 C NMR δ 4.11, 7.05, 10.98, 23.01, 43.93, 45.38, 49.91, 49.99, 50.73, 50.79, 53.40, 63.52; HRMS, m/z calcd for C₁₅H₃₅N₄O₂ 303.2760 (M + H), found 303.2762; $[\alpha]_D^{24}$ –1.6 (c 1.00, 1 N HCl). Anal. Calcd (C₁₅H₃₈-Cl₄N₄O₂) C, H, N.

Cell Culture. Murine L1210 leukemia cells were maintained in logarithmic growth as a suspension culture in RPMI-1640 medium (Gibco) containing 10% fetal bovine serum (Sigma), 2% HEPES–MOPS buffer, and 1 mM aminoguanidine (Sigma) at 37 °C in a water-jacketed 5% CO₂ incubator.

IC₅₀ **Determinations.** Cells were grown in 25-cm² tissue culture flasks in a total volume of 10 mL. Cultures were treated during logarithmic growth ($0.5-1.0 \times 10^5$ cells/mL) with the polyamine derivatives, reseeded, and incubated as described previously.³⁰ Cell counting and calculation of percent of control growth were also carried out as given in an earlier publication.³⁰ The IC₅₀ is defined as the concentration of compound necessary to reduce cell growth to 50% of control growth after defined intervals of exposure.

Polyamine Pool Analysis. During logarithmic growth, cells were treated with the polyamine derivatives. At the end of the treatment period, cell suspensions were sampled, washed twice in ice-cold, incomplete medium, pelleted for extraction using 0.6 N perchloric acid,²¹ and then freeze-fractured in liquid nitrogen/hot water three times. Each supernatant was frozen at -20 °C until analysis of polyamine content by HPLC.²⁶

Uptake Determinations. The polyamine derivatives were studied for their ability to compete with [³H]SPD for uptake into L1210 leukemia cell suspensions in vitro as given in detail in previous publications.^{12,21,30} Briefly, cell suspensions were incubated in 1 mL of culture medium containing radiolabeled SPD alone or radiolabeled SPD in the presence of graduated concentrations of polyamine analogue. At the end of the incubation period, the tubes were centrifuged; the pellet was washed, digested, and neutralized prior to scintillation counting. Lineweaver–Burk plots indicated a simple competitive inhibition with respect to SPD.

Enzyme Assays. ODC and AdoMetDC activities were determined according to the procedures of Seely and Pegg⁴⁴ and Pegg and Pösö,⁴⁵ respectively, on the basis of quantitation of ¹⁴CO₂ released from [¹⁴C]carboxyl-labeled L-ornithine or *S*-adenosyl-L-methionine. Included in each assay were untreated L1210 cells as negative controls as well as cells treated with DEHSPM, a drug having a known reproducible effect on each enzyme, as positive controls.

Spermidine/spermine N^1 -acetyltransferase activity was based on quantitation of $[{}^{14}C]$ - N^1 -acetylspermidine formed by acetylation of SPD with $[{}^{14}C]$ -acetyl coenzyme A according to the method of Libby et al.¹⁸ Cells treated with DENSPM were positive controls.

Acute and Chronic Toxicity of Polyamine Analogues in Mice. For acute toxicity assessments, the polyamine analogues were administered to female CD-1 mice (Charles River, Wilmington, MA) as a single ip injection.²⁷ The animals were scored 2 h after administration of drug; survivors were observed for a period of 10 days after the treatment. On the basis of the results from the acute toxicity trials, the chronic toxicity dosing regimen was set up so that at least one test group should have a high fraction of lethalities. In the chronic toxicity regimen, mice were administered the polyamine analogue as 1 ip dose/day for 5 days. Body weight and observations of coat health and overall physical appearance were recorded at the time of each injection. After the treatment regimen had been completed, animals were monitored daily for an additional 10 days.

Acknowledgment. We thank GelTex, Inc., Waltham, MA, for financial support. We appreciate the technical assistance of Elizabeth M. Nelson and Dr. Eileen Eiler-McManis's assistance in the organization and editing of the manuscript.

References

- Cohen, S. S. A Guide to the Polyamines; Oxford University Press: New York, 1998.
- (2) Pegg, A. E. Polyamine Metabolism and Its Importance in Neoplastic Growth and as a Target for Chemotherapy. *Cancer Res.* **1988**, *48*, 759–774.
- (3) Marton, L. J.; Pegg, A. E. Polyamines as Targets for Therapeutic Intervention. Annu. Rev. Pharm. Toxicol. 1995, 35, 55–91.
- (4) Bergeron, R. J.; Neims, A. H.; McManis, J. S.; Hawthorne, T. R.; Vinson, J. R. T.; Bortell, R.; Ingeno, M. J. Synthetic Polyamine Analogues as Antineoplastics. *J. Med. Chem.* **1988**, *31*, 1183–1190.
- (5) Bernacki, R. J.; Bergeron, R. J.; Porter, C. W. Antitumor Activity of N,N-Bis(ethyl)spermine Homologues against Human MALME-3 Melanoma Xenografts. *Cancer Res.* 1992, *52*, 2424– 2430.
- (6) Reddy, V. K.; Valasinas, A.; Sarkar, A.; Basu, H. S.; Marton, L. J.; Frydman, B. Conformationally Restricted Analogues of ¹N,¹²N-Bisethylspermine: Synthesis and Growth Inhibitory Effects on Human Tumor Cell Lines. J. Med. Chem. **1998**, 41, 4723–4732.
- (7) Valasinas, A.; Sarkar, A.; Reddy, V. K.; Marton, L. J.; Basu, H. S.; Frydman, B. Conformationally Restricted Analogues of ¹N,¹⁴N-Bisethylhomospermine (BE-4-4-4): Synthesis and Growth Inhibitory Effects on Human Prostate Cancer Cells. *J. Med. Chem.* **2001**, *44*, 390–403.
- (8) Reddy, V. K.; Sarkar, A.; Valasinas, A.; Marton, L. J.; Basu, H. S.; Frydman, B. *Cis*-Unsaturated Analogues of 3,8,13,18,23-Pentaazapentacosane (BE-4-4-4): Synthesis and Growth Inhibitory Effects on Human Prostate Cancer Cell Lines. *J. Med. Chem.* **2001**, *44*, 404–417.

- (10)Porter, C. W.; Miller, J.; Bergeron, R. J. Aliphatic Chain Length Specificity of the Polyamine Transport System in Ascites L1210 Leukemia Cells. *Cancer Res.* 1984, 44, 126–128.
 Seiler, N.; Delcros, J. G.; Moulinoux, J. P. Polyamine Transport
- in Mammalian Cells. An Update. Int. J. Biochem. Cell Biol. 1996, 28. 843-861
- (12) Bergeron, R. J.; Hawthorne, T. R.; Vinson, J. R. T.; Beck, D. E., Jr.; Ingeno, M. J. Role of the Methylene Backbone in the Antiproliferative Activity of Polyamine Analogues on L1210 Cells. *Cancer Res.* **1989**, *49*, 2959–2964.
- (13) Porter, C. W.; Pegg, A. E.; Ganis, B.; Madhabala, R.; Bergeron, R. J. Combined Regulation of Ornithine and S-Adenosylmethionine Decarboxylases by Spermine and the Spermine Analogue N^1, N^{12} -Bis(ethyl)spermine. Biochem. J. **1990**, 268, 207– 212.
- (14) Shantz, L. M.; Pegg, A. E. Translational Regulation of Ornithine Decarboxylase and Other Enzymes of the Polyamine Pathway. Int. J. Biochem. Cell Biol. 1999, 31, 107–122.
- (15) Porter, C. W.; McManis, J.; Casero, R. A.; Bergeron, R. J. Relative Abilities of Bis(ethyl) Derivatives of Putrescine, Spermidine, and Spermine to Regulate Polyamine Biosynthesis and Inhibit L1210 Leukemia Cell Growth. Cancer Res. 1987, 47, 2821-2825.
- (16) Pegg, A. E.; Wechter, R.; Pakala, R.; Bergeron, R. J. Effect of N¹, N¹²-Bis(ethyl)spermine and Related Compounds on Growth and Polyamine Acetylation, Content, and Excretion in Human Colon Tumor Cells. *J. Biol. Chem.* **1989**, *264*, 11744–11749.
- (17) Libby, P. R.; Henderson, M.; Bergeron, R. J.; Porter, C. W. Major Increases in Spermidine/Spermine-N1-Acetyltransferase Activity by Spermine Analogues and Their Relationship to Polyamine Depletion and Growth Inhibition in L1210 Cells. Cancer Res. **1989**, *49*, 6226–6231.
- (18) Libby, P. R.; Bergeron, R. J.; Porter, C. W. Structure-Function Correlations of Polyamine Analog-Induced Increases in Spermidine/Spermine Acetyltransferase Activity. Biochem. Pharmacol. 1989, 38, 1435-1442.
- (19) Casero, R. A., Jr.; Celano, P.; Ervin, S. J.; Porter, C. W.; Bergeron, R. J.; Libby, P. Differential Induction of Spermidine/ Spermine N¹-Acetyltransferase in Human Lung Cancer Cells by the Bis(ethyl)Polyamine Analogues. Proc. Am. Assoc. Cancer Res. 1989, 49, 3829-3833.
- (20) Porter, C. W.; Ganis, B.; Libby, P. R.; Bergeron, R. J. Correlations between Polyamine Analogue-induced Increases in Spermidine/ Spermine N¹-Acetyltransferase Activity, Polyamine Pool Depletion, and Growth Inhibition in Human Melanoma Cell Lines.
- tion, and Growth Inhibition in Human Melanoma Cell Lines. *Cancer Res.* 1991, *51*, 3715–3720.
 (21) Bergeron, R. J.; McManis, J. S.; Liu, C. Z.; Feng, Y.; Weimar, W. R.; Luchetta, G. R.; Wu, Q.; Ortiz-Ocasio, J.; Vinson, J. R. T.; Kramer, D.; Porter, C. Antiproliferative Properties of Polyamine Analogues: A Structure–Activity Study. *J. Med. Chem.* 1994, *37*, 3464–3476.
 (22) Bergeron, R. J.; McManis, J. S.; Weimar, W. R.; Schreier, K. M.; Gao, F.; Wu, Q.; Ortiz-Ocasio, J.; Luchetta, G. R.; Porter, C.; Vinson, J. R. T. The Role of Charge in Polyamine Analogue Recognition. *J. Med. Chem.* 1995, *38*, 2278–2285.
 (23) Fogel-Petrovic, M.; Shappell, N. W.; Bergeron, R. J.; Porter, C. W. Polyamine and Polyamine Analog Regulation of Spermidine/
- W. Polyamine and Polyamine Analog Regulation of Spermidine/ Spermine N¹-Acetyltransferase in MALME-3M Human Melanoma Cells. J. Biol. Chem. 1993, 268, 19118-19125
- (24) Bergeron, R. J.; Yao, G. W.; Yao, H.; Weimar, W. R.; Sninsky, C. A.; Raisler, B.; Feng, Y.; Wu, Q.; Gao, F. Metabolically Programmed Polyamine Analogue Antidiarrheals. J. Med. Chem. **1996**, *39*, 2461–2471.
- (25) Bergeron, R. J.; Wiegand, J.; Sninsky, C. A.; Katovich, M. J. The Impact of Polyamine Analogues on the Blood Pressure of Normotensive and Hypertensive Rats. Clin. Exp. Hypertension 1995, 17, 1197-1217
- (26) Bergeron, R. J.; Weimar, W. R.; Luchetta, G.; Streiff, R. R.; Wegand, J.; Perrin, J.; Schreier, K. M.; Porter, C.; Yao, G. W.; Dimova, H. Metabolism and Pharmacokinetics of *N*¹, *N*¹¹-Diethylnorspermine. Drug Metab. Dispos. 1995, 23, 1117–1125.
- Bergeron, R. J.; Weimar, W. R.; Luchetta, G.; Sninsky, C. A.; Wiegand, J. Metabolism and Pharmacokinetics of N^{1} , N^{14} -Dieth-(27)ylhomospermine. Drug Metab. Dispos. 1996, 24, 334-343.

- (28) Bergeron, R. J.; Feng, Y.; Weimar, W. R.; McManis, J. S.; Dimova, H.; Porter, C.; Raisler, B.; Phanstiel, O. A Comparison of Structure-Activity Relationships between Spermidine and Spermine Analogue Antineoplastics. J. Med. Chem. 1997, 40, $1\overline{4}75 - 1494.$
- (29) Bergeron, R. J.; Merriman, R. L.; Olson, S. G.; Wiegand, J.; Bender, J.; Streiff, R. R.; Weimar, W. R. Metabolism and Pharmacokinetics of N^1, N^{11} -Diethylnorspermine in a Cebus apella Primate Model. Cancer Res. 2000, 60, 4433-4439.
- (30)Bergeron, R. J.; Müller, R.; Bussenius, J.; McManis, J. S.; Merriman, R. L.; Smith, R. E.; Yao, H.; Weimar, W. R. Synthesis and Evaluation of Hydroxylated Polyamine Analogues as Antiproliferatives. J. Med. Chem. 2000, 43, 224-235.
- Saab, N. H.; West, E. E.; Bieszk, N. C.; Preuss, C. V.; Mank, A. (31)R.; Casero, R. A.; Woster, P. M. Synthesis and Evaluation of Unsymmetrically Substituted Polyamine Analogues as Modulators of Human Spermidine/Spermine-N1-Acetyltransferase (SSAT) and as Potential Antitumor Agents. J. Med. Chem. 1993, 36, 2998-3004
- (32) McCloskey, D. E.; Casero, R. A., Jr.; Woster, P. M.; Davidson, N. E. Induction of Programmed Cell Death in Human Breast Cancer Cells by an Unsymmetrically Alkylated Polyamine Analogue. Cancer Res. 1995, 55, 3233-3236.
- (33) McCloskey, D. E.; Yang, J.; Woster, P. M.; Davidson, N. E.; Casero, R. A., Jr. Polyamine Analogue Induction of Programmed Cell Death in Human Lung Tumor Cells. Clin. Cancer Res. 1996, 2 441 - 446
- (34) Casero, R. A., Jr.; Mank, A. R.; Saab, N. H.; Wu, R.; Dyer, W. J.; Woster, P. M. Growth and Biochemical Effects of Unsymmetrically Substituted Polyamine Analogues in Human Lung Tumor Cells. Cancer Chemother. Pharmacol. 1995, 36, 69-74.
- (35) McCloskey, D. E.; Woster, P. M.; Casero, R. A., Jr.; Davidson, N. E. Effects of the Polyamine Analogues N¹-Ethyl-N¹¹-((cyclopropyl)methyl)-4,8-diazaundecane and N1-Ethyl-N11-((cycloheptyl)methyl)-4,8-diazaundecane in Human Prostate Cancer Cells. Člin. Cancer Res. 2000, 6, 17–23.
- (36)Nairn, L.; Lindsay, G. S.; Woster, P. M.; Wallace, H. M. Cytotoxicity of Novel Unsymmetrically Substituted Inhibitors of Polymine Biosynthesis in Human Cancer Cells. J. Cell. Physiol. 2000, 182, 209–213.
- (37) Bergeron, R. J.; Garlich, J. R.; Stolowich, N. J. Reagents for the Stepwise Functionalization of Spermidine, Homospermidine, and Bis(3-aminopropyl)amine. J. Org. Chem. 1984, 49, 2997-3001.
- (38) Hendrickson, J. B.; Bergeron, R. J. Triflamides for Protection and Monoalkylation of Amines and a New Gabriel Synthesis. Tetrahedron Lett. 1973, 3839-3842.
- (39)Poulter, S. R.; Heathcock, C. H. Catalytic Hydrogenation of Cyclopropyl Alkenes. I. Effect of Alkyl Substitution on the Heterogeneous Hydrogenolysis Reaction. Tetrahedron Lett. 1968, 5339-5342.
- (40) Bumgardner, C. L.; Lawton, E. L.; Carver, J. G. Hydride Reduction of N-Cyclopropylimines. J. Org. Chem. 1972, 37, 407-
- (41) Howson, W.; Kitteringham, J.; Mistry, J.; Mitchell, M. B.; Novelli, R.; Slater, R. A.; Swayne, G. T. G. Synthesis and Biological Activity of the Four Stereoisomers of 6-[4-[3-[[2-Hydroxy-3[4-[2-(cyclopropylmethoxy)ethyl]phenoxy]propyl]amino]propionamido]phenyl]-5-methyl-4,5-dihydro-3(2H)-pyridazinone, a Combined Vasodilator and β -Adrenoreceptor Antagonist. J. Med. Chem. 1988, 31, 352-356.
- (42) Niitsu, M.; Samejima, K. Syntheses of a Series of Linear Polyamines with Three and Four Methylene Chain Intervals. Chem. Pharm. Bull. 1986, 34, 1032-1038.
- (43)Gold, E. H.; Babad, E. Reductive Cleavage of Sulfonamides with Sodium Bis(2-methoxyethoxy)aluminum Hydride. J. Org. Chem. 1972, 37, 2208-2210.
- Seely, J. E.; Pegg, A. E. Ornithine Decarboxylase (Mouse (44)Kidney). Methods Enzymol. 1983, 94, 158-161.
- (45)Pegg, A. E.; Pösö, H. S-Adenosylmethionine Decarboxylase (Rat Liver). Methods Enzymol. 1983, 94, 234-239.

JM000532Q